



Indiana Pro Bono Commission
One Indiana Square, Suite 530
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Indiana Bar Foundation
230 East Ohio Street, Suite 200
Indianapolis, IN 46204

COMBINED 2005 DISTRICT REPORT, 2007 PRO BONO GRANT APPLICATION, AND 2007 PLAN

Pro Bono District __13__

Applicant: Volunteer Lawyer Program Of Southwest Indiana, Inc.

Mailing Address: 123 N.W. 4th Street, Suite 618

City: Evansville, IN **Zip:** 47708

Phone: (812) 434-4886

Fax: (812) 434-4889

E-mail address: BCorn@courtbuiding.com

Judicial Appointee: Wayne S. Trockman, Vanderburgh Superior Court and Dean A. Sobecki, Judge Daviess Circuit Court

Plan Administrator: Beverly K. Corn

Names of Counties served: Vanderburgh, Posey, Warrick, Spencer, Perry, Dubois, Pike, Martin, Daviess, Knox, and Gibson

Percentage of volunteer attorneys (as defined on page 3) who accepted a pro bono case in 2005 per registered attorneys in district, i.e. the district's pro bono participation rate: 17.8%
To the extent the pro bono participation rate information is available by county, please provide below.

Number of potential clients requesting help in 2005 (limit this to actual intake done or sessions in which plan administrator or his/her delegate provided more than minimal assistance):313

Amount of grant received for 2006: Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00)

Amount of grant (2006 & prior years) projected to be unused as of 12/31/06: 0

Amount requested for 2007: \$132,575

One supplemental, explanatory page may be added to the end of this report and plan.

2007 PLAN SUMMARY

1. Please write a brief summary of the 2007 grant request. Please include information regarding your district's planned activities including committee meetings, training, attorney recognition, newspaper or magazine articles, marketing and promotion. The grant request should cover needs to be addressed, methods, target audience, anticipated outcomes, and how past difficulties will be addressed.

Planned Activities:

1. Continue to provide individual case representation throughout District 13
2. Continue with established Talk to A Lawyer clinics and expand to other areas
3. Determine feasibility of a pro se family law clinic and implement one where feasible
4. Conduct quarterly executive committee meetings as well as full district board meetings throughout the district
5. Continue with the existing volunteer recognition event, including awards and thank you gifts; provide token thank you gifts throughout the year
6. Use public media, public speaking engagements and marketing items to promote monthly Talk To A Lawyer as well as the program in general
7. Plan and implement outreach programs to the rural areas of the district
8. Plan and implement outreach programs and training sessions to the larger law firms within the district
9. Continue providing CLE programs on a variety of topics

Needs To Be Addressed:

1. To continue, increase and improve both the representation of indigent persons and the number of volunteers within the district

Methods To Address The Needs:

1. Hiring a part-time person whose principal function would be to recruit volunteers to accept referrals and provide outreach programs to larger law firms
2. Implementing a family law mentoring program by which inexperienced volunteers may seek advice from a panel of those more experienced
3. Continuing the relationship with Legal Aid Society and Indiana Legal Services in order to maintain the well established collaborative effort between all three agencies; so that all the agencies may draw upon the strengths and ties within the community

Target Audience:

1. The primary target audiences are the legal and outside professional community and the residents who are at or below poverty level standards as established by the federal government

Anticipated Outcomes:

1. Increased number of volunteers with better reporting of hours volunteered
2. An increase of 6% over 2005 figures for direct services
3. Increase exposure to the general public of the need by individuals as well as the services provided by the legal community

Addressing Past Difficulties:

1. By hiring a part-time person to assist in recruitment , placing referrals and training in Vanderburgh Co., the largest county, the Plan Administrator can better utilize her time in the actual administration of the program as well as better address the needs of the rural areas of the district.

2005 REPORT OF VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY CASES IN DISTRICT ____13____

Please attach additional pages for each pro bono provider that receives IOLTA funding, whether directly or indirectly, in your district. See the sample additional pro bono provider page 3A. Please list each attorney only once in the volunteer attorney column but complete one line for each pro bono case for that attorney.

Definitions

Case: A legal matter referred to and accepted by a pro bono attorney volunteer. This includes mediation and GAL services.

Volunteer Attorney: An attorney who has rendered pro bono service to at least one low-income client during the year or accepted a pro bono referral from the identified program. This does not include attorneys who are on the list of pro bono volunteers but who have never taken a case. The case numbers do not include cases screened, only cases actually referred to a pro bono attorney. This also includes an attorney who has worked solely on a pending pro bono case that was neither opened nor closed during the reporting year.

Case Type: Please use the abbreviations listed in Indiana Supreme Court Administrative Rule 8(B)(3) or any other defined abbreviation.

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Volunteer Lawyer Program of SW Ind., Inc.– Individual Case Representation.**

IOLTA funding accounts for 95 % of total pro bono provider budget. Please state the percentage of volunteers and cases which are attributable to IOLTA funding 100%. If this percentage is substantially more than the percentage of IOLTA funding, please explain.

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
Johnathan Feavel	14-Daviess	1		0		DR
Mary Goss	14			1		DR
			1		20	DR
			1		10	DR
		1				DR
		1				DR
Jeff Hayes	14		1		5	DR
		2	1		1	RS
				6		DR
			1		1.5	DR
			1		7.5	DR
Jeff Norris	14			2		91
TOTAL:	<i>No total needed</i>	TOTAL: 5	TOTAL: 6	TOTAL: 9	TOTAL: 45	<i>No total needed</i>

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
Gregory Smith	14	1				DR
Marilyn Ratliff	14			1		JP
Joe Verkamp	14			1		DR
S. Brinkeroff Riley	19-Dubois	1				DR
T. DeMotte	19			1		DR
Norman Hay	19			1		DR
Gregory Schnarr	19			1		DR
Philip Schneider	19	1				DR
J. Verkamp	19	3				RS
			2		2.5	DR
				3		DR
		1				Medicaid
				1		DR
		1				DR
		1				GU
				1		RS
S.D. Kyle	26-Gibson		2		3.5	DR
				1		DR
Jennifer Elston	26	1				DR
			1		1.5	DR
		1				DR
John Goodridge	26	1	1		5	MI
David Hatfield	26			1		RS
D. Higgenbotham	26			1		RS
	TOTAL:	12	6	13	12.5	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
		1				Wills
				1		DR
		1				MI
J. McDonald	26		1		1.5	DR
				1		DR
Dan Reeves	26	1	1		10	CP
				1		MF
Steve Thomas	26			1		SSI
Emil Becker	42-Knox			1		DR
Joe Black				2		DR
				3		DR
Gary Brock	42			1		MI
				1		DR
Jill Doggett	42	1				DR
R. Doolittle	42			1		JP
Jonathan Feavel	42	1				GU
				1		DR
				1		DR
				1		GU
Jason Field	42	1				DR
				4		DR
				1		CP
				1		GU
Bryan Jewel	42		1		5	DR
	TOTAL:	6	3	22	16.5	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
		1				DR
Yvette Kirchoff	42	1				DR
				1		DR
				1		CP
		1				CP
Gara Lee	42	1	1		.5	DR
				4		DR
				1		DR
		2				DR
James Levell	42	1				DR
David Miller	42	1	1		1.5	DR
				1		DR
Stephen Murphy	42	1				DR
				1		CC
Sue Murphy	42			1		JP
				4		DR
		2				DR
		2				DR
		2	2		1	DR
		2	2		2	DR
Matt Parmenter	42	2				DR
Chris. Ramsey	42	1				DR
				1		WILLS
David Roellgen	42	2				DR
	TOTAL:	22	6	15	5	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
Dan Siewers	42		1		.5	DR
				1		JP
Bruce Smith	42			3		DR
			1		18	DR
Dale Webster	42	1				DR
				2		DR
S. Webster	42	1				DR
				1		DR
F. Pickett	51-Martin	1	1		10	DR
				1		MF
Paul Vogler	51	1	1		1.5	MF
		1	1		20	DR
Rod Acchiardo	62-Perry	1				DR
Chris Goffinet	62	1	1		5	GU
				1		DR
J. Hagedorn	62	1				DR
Victor Ippoliti	62		1		23	DR
Katherine Rybak	62			1		DR
Gerald Thom	62			1		DR
James Tyler	62	1	2		8	DR
John Werner	62		1		5	GU
			2		6.5	DR
Karen Werner	62		1		21	DR
T. Aylsworth	82-Warrick	1	1		1.5	DR
		3	2		2.5	WILLS
Total:		13	16	11	122.5	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
D. Brinkmeyer	87			1		DR
A. Brown-Cox	87			1		DR
S. Anthony Long	87	1				DR
Kay Pechin	87			1		DR
Chad Sullivan	87	1				DR
Lincoln Baker	63-Pike	1				GU
Wyatt Rauch	63	3	4		49.75	DR
				1		MI
Donald Baier	65-Posey	1	1		1.5	JP
			2		9	DR
Elizabeth Baier	65	1	1		1	GU
		1	1		4	WILLS
		1	1		1	SC
		1	1		1.5	DR
Wm. Bender	65	1	1		1	MI
Beth Folz	65			1		DR
S. Funkhouser	65			1		DR
Jean Hadley	65	2				DR
				2		DR
David Tanner	65			1		DR
T. VanHaaften	65	1				JP
Eric Ayer	74-Spencer	2				WILLS
Bruce Cissna	74	1				GU
Steve Culley	74	1	1		1.5	SC
J. Lindsey	74	1	1		1.5	RS
Total:		20	14	9	71.75	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
	74	1	1		8	DR
Bryan Rudisill	74	1				RS
Gerald Allega	82-Vand.		1		2	SC
Curt Angermeier	82			1		DR
Ivan Arnaez	82		1		30	RS
Steve Barber	82			1		GU
Barbara Barnett	82	1	1		4	GU
Emil Becker	82			1		GU
				1		DR
Erin Berger	82			1		DR
Kyle Biesecker	82	1				PL
Steve Bohleber	82	1	1		1	SC
			1		1.5	DR
				2		DR
D. T. Born	82			1		GU
F. W. Bowers	82	1	1		4.55	DR
				1		DR
				1		SC
Allyson Breeden	82	1				RS
S. Brinkeroff-Riley	82	1				JP
			1		10	DR
D. Brinkmeyer	82	1				DR
John Brinson	82			1		DR
J. Broadhead	82			1		GU
A. Brown-Cox	82			1		DR
Total:		9	8	13	61.05	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
Tom Bryan	82		1		6.1	MF
David Bunner	82		1		6	DR
Brian Carroll	82			1		ES
				1		Medicaid
Yvonne Carter	82	1	1		.5	DR
		1				GU
Allison Comstock	82			1		DR
Sheila Corcoran	82			1		GU
				1		DR
Beverly Corn	82	3	3		2	RS
			4		15.5	DR
		10	10		31	DR
		4	4		4.5	MI
		1	1		1.5	SSI
		1	1		1	RS
John Cox	82	1	1		10	DR
		1	1		1	CC
		1				DR
		1				RS
Mike Cox	82			1		DR
Michelle Cox	82			1		DR
Randall Craig	82	2				Medicaid
		1				WILLS
Robin Craig	82			1		DR
L. Daly	82		1		1.5	DR
Total:		28	29	8	80.6	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
				1		DR
Scott Danks	82	1				DR
				1		DR
Glenn Deig	82			1		ES
Steve Deig	82			1		DR
Brendan Dix	82	1				RS
James Elshoff	82			1		DR
Lani Ethridge	82		1		11.6	RS
Robin Evernham	82	1	1		1.5	RS
Terry Farmer	82			1		CC
Adam Farrar	82	1				MI
			1		1.5	MI
James Fields	82	1	1		2	DR
Max Fiester	82			1		MI
Tom Fitzsimmons	82			1		MI
James Flynn	82			1		RS
Mark Foster	82		1		10	PC
Shannon Frank	82			1	3	RS
Jacob Fulcher	82	1	1		4	SC
Todd Glass	82	1				WILLS
			1		10.3	RS
Craig Goedde	82	1	1		1.5	SSI
J. Goodridge	82	1				DR
				1		MI
Melissa Greenley	82			1		DR
Total:		9	8	12	45.4	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
				1		RS
D. Guerrettaz	82			1		DR
		1				DR
Tim Hambidge	82			1		RS
John Hamilton	82	2	2		5.5	DR
E. Hansen-Davis	82			1		Bnkrcy
Michael Hayden	82	1				JP
Karen Heard	82			1		RS
John Hegeman	82			1		GU
				1		CP
Pamela Hensler	82			1		DR
Nick Hermann	82	1				DR
Dan Hewins	82	1	1		3.5	MF
Steven Hoar	82			1		MI
				1		MF
M.J. Humphrey	82	1	1		20	DR
Matt Jeffries	82	1				DR
James Jones	82	1	1		1	DR
		1				RS
		1				SC
David Kent	82			2		DR
K. Kornblum	82			1		RS
Tom Krochta	82	1				JP
Steve Krohn	82	1	1		1.5	Wills
Total:		13	6	13	31.5	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
Steve Krohn		1	1		.5	RS
Heather Lacy	82	1				DR
David Lamont	82	1				DR
Chris Lenn	82	1				SC
				1		SC
Krista Lockyear	82			1		SC
Kelly Lonnberg	82			1		DR
		1	1		8.55	DR
Mike Macer	82	1				DR
Tom Massey	82			1		DR
		1				RS
J. McCarthy	82	1	1		1.5	DR
Jeff Meade	82			1		DR
Marjorie Meeks	82		1		34.7	MF
Greg Meyer	82	1				RS
Wm. Nesmith	82	1				DR
C. Nestricks	82	2	1		15	DR
			1		41.45	RS
				2		DR
A. Nestricks	82	1				DR
L. Newton	82			1		DR
T. Norton	82	1				Wills
C. O'Daniel	82	1				RS
D. Patterson	82	1				Bnkrcy
	Total:	16	6	8	101.7	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases ac- cepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
Teresa Perry	82	1				DR
Adria Price	82	1				MI
M. Ratliff	82	1	1		1.5	DR
		1	1		4.	RS
Sonny Reisz	82		1		12	DR
R. Rheinlander	82	1				GU
D. Robinson	82	1	1		4	JP
S. Rountree	82		1		7.3	CC
B. Salmon	82	1				GU
Mark Samila	82			1		CP
Kurt Schnepfer	82			1		SC
David Shaw	82			1		SC
Toby Shaw	82			1		GU
Brian Smith	82			1		DR
		1				DR
Mike Smith	82			1		DR
Dirk Stahl	82	1				GU
		1				DR
				1		DR
Barry Standley	82	2	2		5.75	DR
John Staser	82			1		EU
R. Staten	82			1		GU
				1		DR
Rich Steedman	82	1				Medicaid
	Total:	13	7	10	34.55	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
James Stoltz	82			1		SC
Andy Thomas	82	1				DR
Steve Thomas	82	1	1		2	Wills
Tracy Thread	82			1		RS
Tricia Tominack	82	1				DR
				1		DR
			1		15.5	MF
				1		CC
Jennifer Keppler			1		10	DR
K. VO-Jones	82			1		DR
		1				DR
Jack VanStone	82	1	1		1.5	GU
Keith Vonderahe	82	1				DR
Donald Vowels	82			1		GU
		1				MI
Bill Wallace	82			1		GU
Doug Walton	82	1				RS
				1		RS
				1		DR
Andy Ward	82	2				Wills
Jake Warrum	82	1				DR
RonWarrum	82	1				DR
Barb Williams	82			1		DR
Chris Wischer	82			1		CP
	Total:	12	4	11	29	

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Number of new cases accepted/opened in 2005	Number of cases closed in 2005	Number of cases pending in 2005 that were neither opened nor closed in 2005	Number of Hours for cases closed in 2005 (column 4)	Case Type
Pat Woodring	82	1	1		12	DR
			1		7	GU
Russ Woodson	82			1		RS
Robert Zoss	82			1		DR
Paul Vogler	14	1				DR
Beverly Corn	42	1	1		1	RS
John Goodridge	26	1	1		5	MI
S. Deffendall-Kyle	26		2		3.5	DR
Beverly Corn	19	1	1		.5	DR
Jeffrey Kolb	42	1				RS
				1		GU
Total:		7	7	3	29	
GRAND TOTAL:		185	126	157	686.05	

2005 REPORT OF VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY LIMITED INFORMATION ACTIVITY IN DISTRICT 13

This limited legal information chart can include activities such as pro se clinics and call-in or walk-in informational services.

Please attach additional pages for each pro bono provider that receives IOLTA funding, whether directly or indirectly, in your district. See the sample additional pro bono provider page 4A.

Please list each attorney only once in the volunteer attorney column but complete one line for each type of legal information activity for that attorney.

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Volunteer Lawyer Program of SW Indiana, Inc./ Talk To A Lawyer Annual and Monthly Call-In Program.**

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Type of Activity	Number of Hours
Erin Berger	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	7
Marilyn Ratliff	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	9.5
Brian Jeffries	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	9.5
Wes Bowers	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	7
Sue Ann Hartig	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	9.5
Ole Olsen	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	5
Jessica McCarthy	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	12
Laura Scott	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2.5
James Jones	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	14
Kelly Lonnberg	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	9.5
John Hamilton	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	7
Barbara Williams	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	7.5
Garvin Senn	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	11.5
Karen Heard	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	7.5
Kevin Martin	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2.5
A J Manion	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	5
Mike Land	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	5
Katherine Rybak	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	7.5
Kevin Gibson	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	5
Kit Vanost-Jones	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	4.5
Mary Perdue	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2.5
Trent VanHaaften	Posey	Give general advice	5
Jake Warrum	Posey	Give general advice	2.5
Barbara Kaye Miller	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2.5
LaShay Newton	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2.5
TOTAL:			TOTAL:
25			163.5

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Volunteer Lawyer Program of SW Indiana, Inc./ Talk To A Lawyer Annual and Monthly Call-In Program.**

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Type of Activity	Number of Hours
Chris Lenn	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	4.5
Jill Wesch	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Bill Wallace	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Tracy Thread	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Patricia Woodring	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Andrew Thomas	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Ted Barron	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Beth Browning	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Phil Siegel	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Richard Steedman	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Dan Carwile	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Dave Guerrettaz	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Dan Gearheart	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Steve Culley	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
David Gray	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
Don Fuchs	Vanderburgh	Give general advice	2
TOTAL:16			TOTAL:34.5
OVERALL VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY TOTAL: 41			OVERALL HOURS TOTAL:198

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Talk To A Lawyer – Monthly Call Records. This shows the number of calls received and answered by the previous listed volunteers.**

MONTH **No. of Calls**

January	106		
February	92		
March	62		
April	62		
May	54		
June	48		
July	50		
August	58		
September	59		
October	62		
November	66		
December	41		
Total: 12	762		

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Volunteer Lawyer Program of SW Indiana, Inc. Family Mediation Project**

[illegible]

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Volunteer Lawyer Program of SW Indiana/ Self-Directed Pro Bono**

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Type of Activity	Number of Hours
Tim Hambidge	Vanderburgh	Will/POA for Serviceman	3.0
Greg Meyer	Vanderburgh	Will/POA for Serviceman	3.0
		Estate Procedure/Habitat	15.0
Toby Shaw	Vanderburgh	Will/POA for Serviceman	2.0
		Guardianship Proceeding	2.0
		Will/POA for Serviceman	2.0
John Goodridge	Vanderburgh	Federal Habeas Action	20.00
Stephanie Brinkerhoff-Riley	Vanderburgh	Complex Matter	145.00
		Juvenile Matter	5.1
		Child Support	5.0
		Divorce	16.20
		Domestic-Contempt	4.0
Krista Lockyear	Vanderburgh	Will/POA for Serviceman	1.7
		Will/POA/Health Care	3.5
		Will/POA/Health Care	5.0
		Will/POA/Health Care	3.6
		Will/POA/Health Care	6.0
		Will/POA/Health Care	6.8
		Will/POA/Health Care	5.9
Patricia K. Woodring	Vanderburgh	Breach of Contract Issue	3.5
		Domestic/Contempt	3.5
Brian P. Williams	Vanderburgh	Unemployment Hearing	25.0
		Preparation of a Contract	4.0
		Preparation of a Deed	2.0
Marilyn Ratliff	Vanderburgh	Small Claims-Elderly Client	7.0
		Will/POA for Serviceman	3.0
		Total Hours:	302.80

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Volunteer Lawyer Program of SW Indiana – County Manager***. *** The county manager is responsible for locating volunteers in their county and reporting said activity to the Plan Administrator. Board Members are very active and participate in a wide variety of ways.**

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Type of Activity	Number of Hours
Sue Ann Hartig	Vanderburgh	Board Member/Officer	20
Cathy Nestruck	Vanderburgh	Board Member	12
Steve Culley	Vanderburgh	Board Member	20
Dean Higgenbotham	Gibson	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	20
Jeff Neal	Knox	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	25
Paul Vogler	Martin	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	20
Joe Black	Knox	Board Member	12
Jeff Norris	Daviess	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	14
Jeff Kolb	Daviess	Board Member	12
Hon. Tim Crowley	Knox	Judicial	20
Wyatt Rauch	Pike	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	20
Joseph Verkamp	Dubois	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	10
Hon. James McEntarfer	Perry	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	15
Jefferson Lindsey	Spencer	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	12
Tony Aylsworth	Warrick	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	12
Elizabeth Baier	Posey	Board Member/Co. Mngr.	12
Hon. J. Douglas Knight	Vanderburgh	Judicial	20
Larry Stearns	Knox	Board Member/Vincennes U	12
Total:18			Hours:288

Name of Pro Bono Provider (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations): **Legal Aid Society and Indiana Legal Services.** The attorneys listed below volunteer their time to serve on the Board of Directors of Legal Aid Society. The Volunteer Lawyer Program relies solely on these offices, their staff and staff attorneys to provide the intake and referral process for those cases that ultimately end up being considered for placement with a volunteer lawyer. These offices and their Boards generously give of their time and resources to make the VLP workable.

[illegible]

2005 REPORT

Please list your District's 2005 activities--including committee meetings, training, attorney recognition, newspaper or magazine articles, marketing and promotion--in chronological order.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>
1/1/05	Column in Evansville Bar Association (EBA) newsletter
1/10/05	Executive Committee Meeting
1/14/05	Radio Interviews WKDQ and PUMP
1/17/05	Channel 14 Television Interview
1/17/05	Talk To A Lawyer (TTL) – 8 hours
1/18/05	Presentation at Young Lawyers' Boot Camp
2/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
2/3/05	Monthly TTL
2/8/05	Quarterly Board Meeting – Hosted by Vanderburgh County
2/28/05	Special Executive Committee Meeting
3/05	Article in Res Gestae regarding TTL and the Districts
3/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
3/1/05	Seminar Attendance – “Bridges Out of Poverty”
3/3/05	Monthly TTL
4/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
4/4/05	Monthly TTL
4/7/05	Executive Committee Meeting
4/29/05	Law Day – VLP Sponsored Silent Auction
5/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
5/3/05-5/05/05	Attended Access to Justice Conference – Texas
5/5/05	Monthly TTL
5/10/05	Quarterly Board Meeting – Hosted by Gibson Co. (Honored outgoing co-chairs; event attended by EBA officers as well as IOLTA and Pro Bono members)
6/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
6/2/05	Monthly TTL
6/15/05	Sponsored a “Thank you” advertisement in “Indiana Lawyer”
7/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
7/7/05	Monthly TTL
7/11/05	Executive Committee Meeting
8/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
8/4/05	Monthly TTL
8/9/05	Quarterly Board Meeting - Hosted by Perry County
9/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
9/1/05	Monthly TTL
9/4/05	Article in Evansville Courier
9/15/05	Provided a booth at “Agency Day” at the American Red Cross for Hurricane Katrina evacuees

District Activities, Continued:

<u>DATE:</u>	<u>Activity:</u>
10/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
10/3/05	Executive Committee Meeting
10/6/05	Monthly TTL
10/19/05	Annual Awards Luncheon
10/20/05	ISBA Conference and Plan Administrators' Retreat
10/27/05	Sponsored Seminar: IOLTA
11/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
11/3/05	Monthly TTL
11/8/05	Quarterly Board Meeting – Hosted by Spencer County (Educational presentation given by Vickie Deak of Indiana Legal Services)
12/1/05	Column in EBA newsletter
12/1/05	Monthly TTL
12/7/05	Co-sponsored luncheon with the IBF for local bank officials
12/14/05	Sponsored video CLE replay

** Throughout the year, we ran public service announcements regarding TTL in neighborhood and general newspapers throughout the entire District, such as: Our Times, Perry County/Tell City newspaper, Evansville Courier and Press, Posey County News, and Mt. Vernon Democrat . Other newspapers and news stations were provided PSA, but there is no confirmation that they utilized them.

2005 REPORT

Please provide a short summary of how the provision of pro bono service is coordinated in your district, including the intake process, the relationships of pro bono providers in the district, how referrals are made, and how reporting is done.

Because it has been found to work well in District 13, a continuing joint collaboration with Legal Aid Society and Indiana Legal Services is used to process and screen applications. Following each agency's established protocol, applications are taken for their services. Cases that are deemed financially but not otherwise eligible for their services are then considered for referral to the VLP. Once referred, the Plan Administrator again reviews the application and then proceeds with locating a volunteer. The Plan Administrator or her assistant attends a weekly meeting with Indiana Legal Services to participate in the review of applications for possible referral.

Strict adherence is kept on all levels of eligibility, especially financial status.

This unique collaboration works well in District 13, because each agency genuinely believes it is working towards the same goal. There is no concern of one agency outdoing or outsourcing another. This level of cooperation extends through all levels, from administration through secretarial. Because of this system, the VLP is able to rely on the expertise of those directly involved in the administration of poverty law, especially when there is a question regarding a referral or the information included in the application. Additionally, Legal Aid Society is able to provide court dockets from cases that otherwise are not accessible to VLP staff. This assists in analyzing a case as well as locating the best volunteer. Finally, this system has created an informal system of checks and balances regarding the types of cases being considered, referred and placed.

Reporting is conducted by the VLP, providing forms to the volunteers and, randomly, to those being served. Quarterly reports are provided to the Board of Directors, setting forth statistics for cases referred, placed, and rejected.

Please describe any special circumstances, including difficulties encountered, affecting your District's 2005 implementation of its plan.

The family mediation project did start during 2005, not on a grand scale, but with three (3) attorneys attending family mediation training. The goal of establishing an actual family law mediation project under state guidelines has been sidelined, at the request of the presiding judge. However, with the training of the three mediators, the VLP can offer mediation services that otherwise would not be available.

Recruitment of new volunteers and re-energizing of veteran volunteers continues to be the main concern throughout the District. During 2006, this issue is to be discussed with a view towards finding new and unique ways to handle this issue.

Otherwise, District 13 did not encounter any extraordinary difficulties in implementing its plan during 2005.

BUDGETS for 2005, 2006 and 2007

Income Category	2005 Actual Income	2005 Budget	2006 Actual Income To Date	2006 Budget	2007 Budget
A. INCOME	-	-	-	-	-
1. IOLTA Grant Amount	56,000	142,949	50,000	75,000	\$132,575
Other Income: <i>Explain source(s) and If Actual/Expected in narrative</i>					
2. Fundraising/Matching Grant	17,714.22		5,095		
3. Surrender of CD's (2)			10,324.60	0	0
4. Carryover from previous years*	38,735.45		39,964.05		\$25,000
5. Total Income (sum of lines A1 – A4)	\$ 112,449.67	\$142,949	\$105,383.65	\$	\$ 157,575
Expense Category	2005 Actual Expenditures	2005 Budget	2006 Actual Expenditures To Date	2006 Budget	2007 Budget
B. PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES					
1. Plan Administrator	34,999.92	35,000	19,935.87	35,000	39,500
2. Paralegals					
3. Others - Please explain	7,666.54	21,500	3,525.18	13,500	47,440
4. Employee benefits					
a. Insurance					
b. Retirement plans			2,145		3,285
c. Other - Please explain	12,877.53	11,541	1,565.27	10,500	19,000
5. Total Personnel expenditures (sum of lines B1 – B4c)	\$ 55,546.99	\$ 68,041	\$ 27,171.32	\$ 59,000	\$ 109,225
C. NON-PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES					
1. Occupancy	5,896.53	5,800	2,824.44	7,200	7,200
2. Equipment Rental		600			500
3. Office Supplies	2,330.65	3,150	511.84	3,500	3,500
4. Telephone	1,819.44	3,326	934.91	2,500	2,750
5. Travel	359.40	3,000	1,108.68	2,500	5,000
6. Training	304.96	3,150		2,500	3,500
7. Library	204.38	1,000	67.31	750	750
8. Malpractice Insurance	1,349.93	2,268		2,500	2,500
9. Dues and Fees	931	600	732.75	600	900
10. Contingent Reserve		15,886	10,000***	0	0
11. Litigation Reserve		5,500		5,500	5,500
12. Marketing and promotion	697.88	6,258	481.51	2,000	3,000
13. Attorney recognition	1,800.50	2,100	880.44	2,500	2,500
14. Litigation expenditures	393.76	5,500		5,500	5,000
15. Property Acquisition	850.20	4,500		2,500	3,500
16. Contract Services		1,526		1,500	500
17. Grants to other pro bono providers					

18. Other - Please explain		4,245			
19. Total Non-Personnel Expenditures (sum of lines C1 - C18)	\$ 16,938.63	\$ 72,908	\$17,241.88	\$ 46,050	\$48,350
D. TOTAL EXPENDITURES (sum of B5 & C19)	\$72,485.62	\$ 142,949	\$44,413.20	\$ 107,050	\$157,575
E. ENDING FUND BALANCE (A5 less D)	\$39,964.05	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0

A.4 This carryover is from two (2) sources: 1) when the program first started in 2001, it received a grant of \$40,000 and then almost immediately received its money for 2002, which created a small surplus, and 2) fundraising has occurred for the last three (3) years, which has assisted the program in staying in the black and created the carry-over. While the program has experienced expenditures over the amount of each year's grant, the carryover provided the extra source of income to meet those expenditures as well as provided some cushion.

B.3 In 2007, the program plans to hire another part-time employee, to serve as a county manager for Vanderburgh County, the largest county in the District. Whether the new position would be filled by an attorney is yet to be decided. The duties for this new position would included, but not be limited to: assist in placing referrals with volunteers primarily in Vanderburgh County, assist with outreach programs to the larger law firms in Vanderburgh County as well as provide training seminars to those firms, and such other duties as the Plan Administrator and Board deems necessary. The rationale for this position is by removing these duties from the Plan Administrator, the Plan Administrator can better focus on the actual administration of the program as well as work on providing better outreach and support to the rural areas of the District. This position is anticipated at no more than 24 hours per week, with an annual salary of \$35,000. With the hiring of an additional position, state and federal employment taxes and malpractice insurance will increase, an additional phone will be necessary as well as a computer, preferably a laptop to assist with presenting seminars, hardware and software. A Power Point projector or desk top color copier/printer may be purchased during 2007 as well as either new computers or new monitors to replace existing computer equipment, depending on availability of funds. Travel and training expenditures will increase to accommodate not only the travel and training for the employees, but also for outreach and training of volunteers. No increase in office space is anticipated. Ultimately, by dividing these duties and providing better equipment, the program believes the volunteer base will increase and hence, the number of referrals will also increase.

Budget Narrative

Please provide descriptions of the following line items in the foregoing budget chart, by item number, in the space provided. Please explain any other budget entries that are not self-explanatory, including other sources of income.

Lines (A)(1), (2), (3), (4) Please indicate the number of hours per week for each personnel position, rate of pay, and all employee benefits.

Line B1: Plan Administrator is part-time at 24 per week or until the job is done. Annual rate of \$35,000, which will increase to \$39,500 in 2007. An increase in salary to \$38,000 was granted in May, 2006.

Line B3: The Program employs a part-time Administrative Assistant at 20 hours per week at \$11.00 per hour. As explained previously, plans to hire another part-time employee at \$35,000 annually are being developed.

Line B4(c): Includes employer's responsibility for state and federal employment taxes, employee dishonesty insurance and workers compensation insurance.

Other Line Explanations:

Line C10: This reserve had \$15,000 in it, but at the suggestion of the Commission, \$10,000 has been removed and placed in the general operating account.

There are no health insurance benefits for either the Plan Administrator or the Administrative Assistant. There are retirement benefits for the Plan Administrator starting in 2006.

Line (C)(1) Please describe the occupancy cost in terms of square footage, utilities or other amenities and indicate whether the occupancy cost is above or below the market rate for that space.

The program leases 767 square feet at a rate of \$384.00 per month, which is approximately \$5.00 per square foot. Most occupancy in Evansville averages between \$9.00 to \$14.00 per square foot. Utilities average \$82.00 per month. Highspeed internet is available for \$40.00 per month and telephones are \$100.00 per month with an additional charge for long distance and toll phone calls. On an average, the program pays \$584.00 per month for space, telephones and utilities. The leasing authority, Hulman & Company, provides the phone bank, free of charge, for the monthly Talk To A Lawyer call in program.

ANNUAL TIMETABLE FOR SUBMISSION OF FORMS AND CHECKS:

January 1:	Checks distributed
July 1:	Annual report, plan and grant application due to IPBC
November:	Notification of awards
December 1:	IBF grant agreement due and revised budget due

The following representations, made to the best of our knowledge and belief, are being provided to the Indiana Pro Bono Commission and Indiana Bar Foundation in anticipation of their review and evaluation of our funding request and our commitment and value to our Pro Bono District.

Operation under Rule 6.6

In submitting this application for funding, this district is representing itself as having a Pro Bono Plan, which is pursuant to **Rule 6.6** of the Indiana Rules of Professional Conduct. The plan enables attorneys in our district to discharge their professional responsibilities to provide civil legal pro bono services; improves the overall delivery of civil legal services to persons of limited means by facilitating the integration and coordination of services provided by pro bono organizations and other legal assistance organizations in our district; and ensures access to high quality and timely pro bono civil legal services for persons of limited means by (1) fostering the development of new civil legal pro bono programs where needed and (2) supporting and improving the quality of existing civil legal pro bono programs. The plan also fosters the growth of a public service culture within the district which values civil legal pro bono publico service and promotes the ongoing development of financial and other resources for civil legal pro bono organizations.

We have adhered to **Rule 6.6** (f) by having a district pro bono committee composed of:

- A. the judge designated by the Supreme Court to preside;
- B. to the extent feasible, one or more representatives from each voluntary bar association in the district, one representative from each pro bono and legal assistance provider in the district, and one representative from each law school in the district; and
- C. at least two (2) community-at-large representatives, one of whom shall be a present or past recipient of pro bono publico legal services.

We have determined the governance of our district pro bono committee as well as the terms of service of our members. Replacement and succession members are appointed by the judge designated by the Supreme Court.

Pursuant to **Rule 6.6** (g) to ensure an active and effective district pro bono program, we:

- A. prepare in written form, on an annual basis, a district pro bono plan, including any county sub-plans if appropriate, after evaluating the needs of the district and making a determination of presently available pro bono services;
- B. select and employ a plan administrator to provide the necessary coordination and administrative support for the district pro bono committee;
- C. implement the district pro bono plan and monitor its results; and
- D. submit an annual report to the Commission.

Commitment to Pro Bono Program Excellence

We also understand that ultimately the measure of success for a civil legal services program, whether a staffed or volunteer attorney program, is the outcomes achieved for clients, and the relationship of these outcomes to clients' most critical legal needs. We agree to strive for the following hallmarks which are characteristics enhancing a pro bono program's ability to succeed in providing effective services addressing clients' critical needs.

1. Participation by the local bar associations and attorneys. The associations and attorneys believe the program is necessary and beneficial.

2. Centrality of client needs. The mission of the program is to provide high quality free civil legal services to low-income persons through volunteer attorneys. Client needs drive the program, balanced by the nature and quantity of resources available.

3. Program priorities. The program engages in a priority-setting process, which determines what types of problems the program will address. Resources are allocated to matters of greatest impact on the client and are susceptible to civil legal resolution. The program calls on civil legal providers and other programs serving low-income people to assist in this process.

4. Direct representation component. The core of the program is direct representation in which volunteer attorneys engage in advocacy on behalf of low-income persons. Adjunct programs such as advice clinics, pro se clinics and paralegal assistance are dictated by client needs and support the core program.

5. Coordination with state and local civil legal providers and bar associations. The programs work cooperatively with the local civil legal providers. The partnerships between the civil legal providers and the local bar association results in a variety of benefits including sharing of expertise, coordination of services, and creative solutions to problems faced by the client community.

6. Accountability. The program has mechanisms for evaluating the quality of service it provides. It expects and obtains reporting from participating attorneys concerning the progress/outcome of referred cases. It has the capability to demonstrate compliance with requirements imposed by its funding source(s), and it has a grievance procedure for the internal resolution of disputes between attorneys and clients.

7. Continuity. The program has a form of governance, which ensures the program will survive changes in bar leadership, and has operational guidelines, which enable the program to survive a change in staff.

8. Cost-effectiveness. The program maximizes the level of high quality civil legal services it provides in relationship to the total amount of funding received.

9. Minimization of barriers. The program addresses in a deliberate manner linguistic, sensory, physical and cultural barriers to clients' ability to receive services from the program. The program does not create undue administrative barriers to client access.

10. Understanding of ethical considerations. The program operates in a way which is consistent with the Rules of Professional Conduct; client confidentiality is assured and conflicts of interest are avoided. The staff and volunteers are respectful of clients and sensitive to their needs.

11. ABA Standards. The program is designed to be as consistent with the ABA Standards for Programs Providing Civil Pro Bono Legal Services to Persons of Limited Means as possible.

No events, shortages or irregularities have occurred and no facts have been discovered which would make the financial statements provided to you materially inaccurate or misleading. To our knowledge there is nothing reflecting unfavorably upon the honesty or integrity of members of our organization. We have accounted for all known or anticipated operating revenue and expense in preparing our funding request.

We agree to provide human-interest stories promoting Pro Bono activities in a timely manner upon request of the Indiana Bar Foundation or Indiana Pro Bono Commission. We further agree to make ourselves available to meet with the Pro Bono Commission and/or the Indiana Bar Foundation to answer any questions or provide any material requested which serves as verification/source documentation for the submitted information.

Explanation of items stricken from the above Letter of Representation:

It is understood that this Letter does not replace the Grant Agreement or other documents required by the Indiana Bar Foundation or Indiana Pro Bono Commission.

Signatures:

Judicial Appointee Signature

Date

Plan Administrator Signature

Date

Supplemental, Explanatory Page:

A. District 13 is unique in two main areas: 1) use of county manager system and 2) collaboration with Legal Aid Society and Indiana Legal Services.

1. The county manager system provides that one (1) designated lawyer in ten (10) of the eleven (11) counties be responsible for finding volunteers to handle the cases in that county. This system was developed in order to bring a sense of ownership in each respective county to the program as a whole. Additionally, the belief was that lawyers will respond more affirmatively to a person that they know; therefore, instead of some unknown lawyer from Evansville trying to coax a volunteer, a known person makes the phone call. This system has proven to work very well.
2. The collaboration between the Volunteer Lawyer Program of SW Indiana, Inc. and Legal Aid Society and Indiana Legal Services is the cornerstone of District 13's program. Without the dedication of the Board members for these agencies as well as the staff attorneys, the intake/referral system would break down. This collaboration has not only created a cohesive environment for those who are dedicated to poverty law, but it has saved District 13 sums of money in the expense and time of the intake process. Because the attorneys for each of these agencies are involved in the intake process, they provide valuable information and insight into those cases referred to our agency. Additionally, the weekly group discussions concerning that week's intakes consumes an average of two (2) hours each week and involves attorneys as well as the Plan Administrator or staff member.

B. On page 3, there is an indication that IOLTA funds make up 95% of the program budget. By way of explanation, it should be noted that this has occurred solely due to the unfortunate decrease in IOLTA funding. Fundraising has occurred to fill in the void. It is anticipated that fundraising will decrease and IOLTA funding will be more than sufficient to support 100% of the program.